

Automated Screening for
Look-Alike and Sound-Alike Medication Errors

Bruce L. Lambert, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmacy Administration

Clinical Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmacy Practice

University of Illinois at Chicago

FDA Conference on Minimizing Medical Product Errors
A Systems Approach

January 8, 1998

Natcher Auditorium

National Institutes of Health

Bethesda, Maryland

Acknowledgments

- This work is supported in part by grants and contracts from the U. S. Pharmacopeia, the Drug Information Association, the Institute for Safe Medication Practices, and the UIC Campus Research Board.
- The U.S. Pharmacopeia graciously provided the author with electronic versions of the *USP DI-Volume I* and the *USAN Dictionary*.
- The assistance of Swu-Jane Lin, Sanjay Gandhi, Ken Yu Cheng, Dan Boring, Mike Cohen, Bill Brewer, Gary Dell, Keith Johnson, Diane Cousins, Don Rucker and Alan Baddeley is gratefully acknowledged.

Overview

- Basic facts about look-alike/sound-alike (LASA) errors
- Why do they occur?
- What can be done to predict or prevent them?

Basic Facts About LASA Errors

- What are they?
- When do they occur?
- How often do they occur?
- What are the consequences?

Why do LASA Errors Occur?

- Cognitive psychological factors
- Environmental/workplace factors
- Interaction between psychological and workplace factors

Basic Prevention Strategy

- Identify which psychological processes are involved in each type of error
- Use theories from cognitive psychology to guide our efforts at error minimization

LASA Error Types Broken Down by Psychological Faculty

- Memory Errors (e.g., forgetting, misremembering)
- Perceptual Errors (e.g., misperceiving)
- Action errors (e.g., typographical errors, order entry errors)

Example: Memory Errors

Known Psychological Phenomena

- Phonological similarity effect
- Word length effect
- Unattended speech effect
- Articulatory suppression effect
- Word frequency effect

Baddeley's Working Memory Model

- Consists of central executive, visuo-spatial sketchpad, and phonological loop.
- Phonological similarity effects are explained by the phonological loop.
- Phonological representations of words are subject to partial loss due to decay and interference (Gathercole and Baddeley, 1993).
- Loss and decay are most consequential when an item is phonologically similar to another item already in the phonological store.

Using the Theory to Guide Prevention

- Develop automated measures of similarity
- Use automated measures to study relationship between similarity and probability of error

Automated Measures of String Similarity

- Bigram

Atarax	Marax	Common bigrams
(at, ta, ar, ra, ax)	(ma, ar, ra, ax)	(ar, ra, ax)

- Trigram

Atarax	Marax	Common trigrams
(ata, tar, ara, rax)	(mar, ara, rax)	(ara, rax)

- Edit distance

Atarax	Marax
--------	-------

How many insertions or deletions would it take to transform one word into the other? In this case, 2: (1) Change A to M, (2) delete t.

Results

- Case-control studies
- Recall and recognition memory experiments

How Can We Prevent LASA Errors?

- Engineer the drug lexicon to make it 'error resistant'
- Engineer the work environment to make it 'error resistant'

Engineer the Drug Lexicon

- Each drug is a point in a multi-dimensional space
- Dimensions of this space are orthographic and phonological similarity as well as dose, schedule, route of administration, color, shape. etc.
- Errors occur when drug products are 'too close' to one another in this space

Engineering the Lexicon

- Use automated measures of similarity to screen new drug products
- Only approve new products that are a 'safe distance' from existing products
- Something like this is routinely done as part of the legal screening of new trademark names

Engineering the Drug Lexicon

- We need a reference standard database of drug information against which new drug products would be screened
- More research is needed to determine how close is 'too close'

- Must decide what to do when new name is 'too close' to an old name

Engineering the Drug Lexicon

- Automated searches should be part of failure mode and effects analysis (FMEA)
- FMEA should be a routine part of the FDA approval process

Engineering the Work Environment

- No talking while prescribing, dispensing, or administering drugs
- No alphabetical storage of drugs
- No handwritten prescriptions
- No faxed prescriptions
- Use of bar codes where feasible
- Use of additional retrieval cues where feasible (e.g., dose, indication, scheduling, etc.)

Summary

- Basic facts about look-alike/sound-alike (LASA) errors
- Why do they occur?
- What can be done to predict or prevent them?

lambertb@uic.edu